



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---|------------------------|
| 10/723,096 | 11/26/2003 | John E. Kendall | 62806A (1062-023) | 1111 |
| 25215 7590 05/21/2007 DOBRUSIN & THENNISCH PC 29 W LAWRENCE ST SUITE 210 PONTIAC, MI 48342 | | | EXAMINER WOLLSCHLAGER, JEFFREY MICHAEL | |
| | | | ART UNIT 1732 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 05/21/2007 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Response to Rule 312 Communication | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/723,096 | KENDALL ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Jeff Wollschlager | Art Unit 1732 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 27 April 2007 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) ☐ entered.

b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.


c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

d) ☒ disapproved. See explanation below.

e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

The examiner notes that the cancellation of claim 25 has changed the scope of the claims that previously depended from claim 25 (i.e. claims 26 and 28). The examiner further notes that the changed dependency of claims 26 and 28 would require the claims to be renumbered. Additionally, the amendment to claim 30 appears to have changed the intended scope of the claim and would require further consideration.


CHRISTINA JOHNSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
5/18/07